

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/046,808	01/15/2002	Peter Falke	12115	6436
28484	7590 11/10/2004		EXAM	INER
BASF CORL			COONEY, JOHN M	
1609 BIDDL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WYANDOT	ΓE, MI 48192		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	l

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/046,808	FALKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication	John m Cooney	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of this priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO latitle. cause the application to become	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1:	9 August 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-14 and 16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-14 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	dnar					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				
S. Palant and Tradamed, Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/046,808

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant's arguments filed 8-19-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Double Patenting rejection is withdrawn in light of the Terminal Disclaimer received 8-19-04. However, it is also noted that Application Serial #10/242,741 has been abandoned at the time of this Office action.

The following rejection is maintained herein:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 6-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bleys (5,521,226).

Bleys discloses preparations of flexible polyurethanes prepared at isocyanate indexes as claimed isocyanates of blended polyisocyanates and blended polyols having weight, functionality, and number values as well as oxyalkylene contents as claimed, water, and other additives (see the entire document).

Bleys differs from applicants' claims in that it does not disclose the use of a high amounts of a high EO polyol. However, Bleys discloses (see column 1 lines 16-24)

Application/Control Number: 10/046,808

Art Unit: 1711

motivation for variation in amounts of high EO polyols for the purpose of control of reactivities and open cell contents and discloses (see column 1 lines 55-65) selectability of its second polyol to be inclusive of the high EO polyols of applicants' claims. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have utilized elevated amounts of high EO content within the teachings of Bleys for the purpose of modifying reactivities and physical properties in order to arrive at the products and processes of applicants' claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results. Further, it has long been held that where the general conditions of the claims are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimal or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233; *In re Reese* 129 USPQ 402. Similarly, it has been held that discovering the optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272,205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Applicants offer no suitable showing of unexpected results which overcome the instantly held position of obviousness.

Applicants' new arguments have been considered. However, rejection is maintained as set forth above. The position is maintained that motivation within the cited art to vary the amounts of the polyol components is sufficient to sustain a position of obviousness, and sufficient unexpected results commensurate in scope with the claims are not of record which overcome the instantly held rejection. Additionally, the term "low-odor" is of little value in defining a claim limitation without any definition of the degree of odor reduction and/or odorizing elements to which reference is being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,808

Art Unit: 1711

Page 4

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER